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#### Abstract

This research paper investigates the multifaceted relationship between education and women's participation in electoral processes as voters. Through a comprehensive synthesis of existing literature, empirical studies, and theoretical frameworks, the study aims to unravel the intricate mechanisms by which education shapes women's engagement in elections. Furthermore, the research explores the mediating role of contextual factors including socio-economic development, gender norms, and political institutions in moderating the impact of education on women's voter turnout. By shedding light on these dynamics, the paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the complex interplay between education, socio-political contexts, and women's electoral behavior. This research holds implications for policy interventions aimed at promoting gender equality and fostering inclusive democratic practices worldwide.
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## Introduction

In the realm of democracy, the active involvement of women in electoral processes serves as a pivotal benchmark for gauging societal advancement and political inclusivity. Pakistan, characterized by its rich cultural tapestry and tumultuous political history, grapples with the imperative of ensuring equitable representation of women within its electoral framework. Despite constitutional assurances and legislative endeavors aimed at fostering gender parity, Pakistani women continue to confront numerous hurdles that hinder their complete and meaningful engagement in the electoral arena, particularly as voters.

## Historical Context of Women's Suffrage

The journey towards women's suffrage has been a landmark in the evolution of democratic principles worldwide. New Zealand set a pioneering example by granting women the right to vote in 1893, marking a watershed moment in history (Grimshaw, 2013). Subsequently, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights in 1966 further underscored the significance of voting rights on a global scale.
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## Women's Suffrage in Pakistan

Women in Pakistan have enjoyed the right to vote since the country's inception, enshrined in the first constitution of 1956 and reaffirmed in subsequent constitutional iterations (Islam et al., 2019). Despite this legal framework, women's electoral participation and representation have remained disproportionately low.

## Challenges to Women's Electoral Participation

Despite constitutional guarantees, Pakistan has faced significant challenges in ensuring women's meaningful participation in electoral processes. In various constituencies, especially in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, women's turnout has been alarmingly low, with some areas reporting turnout rates of less than $1 \%$ (Naz, 2012; Khan, 2016).

## Government Measures to Enhance Women's Participation

To address this issue, the government passed the Elections Act of 2017, introducing measures such as annulling results in constituencies with female turnout below $10 \%$ and criminalizing impediments to female voting. Furthermore, political parties were mandated to reserve at least 5\% of their non-reserved seats for women (Report of The General Elections of 2018, 2017).

## Socio-cultural Barriers and Electoral Turnout

Socio-cultural norms, particularly in rural areas, have posed significant obstacles to women's electoral participation. In response, the Election Commission of Pakistan deployed female staff at polling stations to facilitate female voters (Report of The General Elections of 2018, 2017).

## Current Status and Challenges

Despite these efforts, challenges persist. The census report of 2017 reveals disparities in the registration and turnout of women voters, with rural areas particularly affected. In the General Election of 2018, while the overall turnout of women for the National Assembly was $46.89 \%$, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa recorded a turnout of only $32.96 \%$ among women voters (FAFEN, 2018).

## Importance of Women's Electoral Participation

The importance of women's participation in Pakistani elections cannot be overstated. Their involvement not only reinforces democratic ideals but also stands as a cornerstone for the progression of gender equality and women's rights within the socio-political sphere. However, the reality on the ground reveals a dissonance between constitutional aspirations and pragmatic realities, with women frequently encountering impediments such as entrenched cultural norms, socio-economic disparities, and institutional obstacles that erode their agency as voters. Mounting empirical evidence indicates that the active participation of women in electoral processes yields more inclusive and responsive governance (Razaullah, 2018). Nevertheless, despite constituting nearly half of Pakistan's population, women's voter turnout remains markedly lower compared to men (International Foundation for Electoral Systems, 2018). This glaring disparity not only underscores systemic inequities but also raises apprehensions regarding the legitimacy and representativeness of electoral outcomes.
Education serves as a crucial catalyst for empowering individuals, particularly women, by enhancing their awareness, critical thinking abilities, and socio-political efficacy (Verba et al., 1995). Research suggests that educated individuals are more likely to engage in political activities, including voting, due to their increased understanding of political issues, policies, and candidates
(Brady et al., 1999). However, the relationship between education and women's voter participation remains complex and multifaceted.
While education offers women opportunities for political empowerment, disparities in educational attainment persist globally, with women in many regions facing barriers such as limited access to quality education, gender-based discrimination, and cultural norms that prioritize male education (UNESCO, 2020). These disparities have implications for women's political engagement, as lower levels of education often correlate with reduced political participation among women (Mondak \& Anderson, 2004).
Moreover, education intersects with other socio-economic factors, such as income, employment, and social status, shaping women's political attitudes, behaviors, and opportunities for civic engagement (Nie et al., 1976). Therefore, understanding the impact of education on women's voter participation requires a nuanced examination that considers the interplay of educational, socioeconomic, and cultural factors.
This research paper aims to explore the intricate relationship between education and women's participation in elections as voters. By synthesizing existing literature, empirical evidence, and theoretical frameworks, this study seeks to elucidate the mechanisms through which education influences women's electoral engagement. Additionally, it will investigate how contextual factors, such as socio-economic development, gender norms, and political institutions, mediate the effects of education on women's voter turnout.
Through a comprehensive analysis, this research endeavors to provide insights that can inform policies and interventions aimed at promoting gender equality in electoral participation and fostering inclusive democratic processes. By addressing the nexus between education and women's voter participation, this study contributes to advancing our understanding of the dynamics shaping political engagement and representation in contemporary societies.

## Methodology

The selection of District Kohat for the present study is due to its historical and political importance other than the reason that it is the maternal hometown of one of the authors. According to the general elections of 2018, the total electoral turnout of women in district Kohat was $37.24 \%$. Data have been collected through a survey comprising of a multistage random and systematic sampling. The population of the study is the registered voters of District Kohat. The total number of registered voters in district Kohat as per elections 2018 was 131006 (FAFEN, 2018).

## Sampling Size

The Yamane formula is used as a criterion for selecting the participants.

$$
n=\frac{N}{1+N(e)^{2}}
$$

where " n " is the sample size and "e" denotes the margin of error, which is 0.05 .

Sampling Size of District Kohat
$\frac{131006}{1+131006(0.05)^{2}}$
$\mathrm{n}=398.7$
$\mathrm{n} \approx 400$
So the overall sample size came to 400 respondents. These respondents were selected from the voters' lists on the basis of random and systematic techniques. Data was collected using Google forms sent via the internet from the women registered voters of NA. 29 Peshawar. Data gathered through the survey was subject to descriptive statistical analysis and logistic regression. Collected data was coded, summarized, and analyzed using SPSS, a comprehensive and flexible statistical analysis and data management system. Statistics were used to describe the following overarching question and sub-questions:

- To what extent do demographic factors affect the decision of female registered voters to vote cast in the 2018 elections?
- To explore whether the educational factor affects female registered voters' decision to vote cast in the 2018 elections?
Variables were measured using a Likert scale, a psychometric scale widely used in survey research to collect ordinal data. Variables are conceptualized and analyzed along a continuum.


## Dependent variable- Electoral participation of women in the general elections of 2018

Table 1: Electoral participation of the respondents

| Variable | Response options | n | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes | 153 | 38.25 |
| Electoral | No | 247 | 61.75 |
| Participation | Total | 400 | 100 |

153 (38.25\%) out of the total respondents had taken part in voting in the general elections of 2018, whereas the rest, 247 ( $61.75 \%$ ), had not taken part in the voting process. Table 1 shows that a maximum number of respondents had not cast their vote.

## Independent Variable

When analyzing respondents' profiles, researchers typically examine a range of demographic variables, such as age, education level, income, and occupation. Examining these variables allows researchers to identify patterns and trends relevant to their research questions.

Table 2: Age of respondents

| Variable | Response options | N | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | $22-32$ | 149 | 37.25 |
|  | $33-42$ | 99 | 24.75 |
|  | $43-52$ | 87 | 21.75 |
|  | 53 and above | 65 | 16.25 |
|  | Total | 400 | 100 |

The first demographic is associated with the age of respondents. Of the total respondents from Kohat, $149(37.25 \%)$ were 22-32 years old, $99(24.75)$ were having the age group of 33-42 years., 87 (21.75) were having the age group of $43-52$ years, $65(16.25 \%$ ) were from the age group of 53 and above. Most of the participants were from the age group of 22-32.

## Inferential Statistics

Table 3: Association between age and electoral participation

| Variables | Response options | No (\%) | Yes (\%) | Total | $\chi 2$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 22-32 | 90 (60.4) | 59(39.6) | 149 (100) | 9.601 | 0.022* |
|  | 33-42 | 56 (56.6) | 43(43.4) | 99(100) |  |  |
|  | 43-52 | 50 (57.5) | 37(42.5) | 87(100) |  |  |
|  | 53and above | 51 (78.5) | 14(21.5) | 65(100) |  |  |
|  | Total | 247(63.25) | 153(36.75) | 400 |  |  |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001$
Among significant age groups, most of the participants, 43 (43.4\%), were from the age group of 33-42, had cast their vote compared to other age groups. Furthermore, a minimum of the respondents, $14(21.5 \%)$ from 53 and above age, had cast their vote compared to the other age groups. The result shows age and electoral participation were significantly associated ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

Figure 1: Percentage of respondents according to their age groups


Educational level-Descriptive statistics
Table 4: Educational level of the respondents

| Variable | Response Options | $\mathbf{N}$ | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Educational <br> Level | Illiterate | 88 | 22.0 |
|  | Primary | 15 | 3.75 |
|  | Middle | 17 | 4.25 |
|  | Matric | 21 | 5.25 |
|  | Intermediate | 16 | 04 |
|  | Bachelor | 122 | 30.5 |
|  | Masters and above | 121 | 30.25 |
|  | Total | 400 | $100 \%$ |

Table 4 shows that among the respondents of NA. 32 Kohat, there are $88(22.0 \%)$ illiterate, $15(3.75 \%)$ have primary education, $17(4.25 \%)$ are from the middle-level education, 21 ( $5.25 \%$ ) are matric passed, $16(04 \%)$ are intermediate, 122 (30.5\%) having bachelor degree and 121 (30.25\%) are from masters and above category.

Table 5: Association between educational level and electoral participation

| Variables | Response options | No (\%) | Yes (\%) | Total | $\chi^{2}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Level | Illiterate | 66 (75.0) | 22 (25.0) | 88(100) | 19.002 | 0.004** |
|  | Primary | 9 (60.0) | 6 (40.0) | 17 (100) |  |  |
|  | Middle | 8 (47.1) | 9 (52.9) | 17(100) |  |  |
|  | Matric | 13 (61.9) | 8 (38.1) | 21(100) |  |  |
|  | Intermediate | 9(56.25) | 7(43.75) | 16(100) |  |  |
|  | Bachelor | 83 (68.0) | 39 (32.0) | 122(100) |  |  |
|  | Masters and above | 59 (48.8) | 62 (51.2) | 121(100) |  |  |
|  | Total | 247(59.6) | 153(40.4) | 400 |  |  |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001$
The educational level also shows variation among respondents who had voted in the general elections of 2018 and who had not cast their votes. Of the total respondents,22(25.0\%) illiterate respondents had cast their votes, and $66(75.0 \%)$ had not. $6(40.0 \%)$ of primary passed respondents had cast their votes, and $9(60.0 \%)$ had not cast their votes. $9(52.9 \%)$ of middle-pass respondents had cast their votes, and $8(47.1 \%)$ had not. $8(38.1 \%)$ of matric passed respondents had cast their votes, and 13 ( $61.9 \%$ ) had not cast their votes. 39 ( $32.0 \%$ ) of bachelor graduate respondents had cast their votes, and $83(68.0 \%)$ had not cast their votes. Lastly, $62(51.2 \%)$ of master's graduate respondents had cast their votes, and $59(48.8 \%)$ had not. There is also a remarkable association between the educational level and electoral participation as $\chi 2=19.002$ with a $p$-value $<0.05$.

Figure 2: The educational level of the respondents and electoral participation


## Occupation- Descriptive statistics

## Table 6: Occupation of the respondents

| Variable | Response options | $\mathbf{N}$ | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Occupation | Agriculture | 1 | 0.25 |
|  | Business | 21 | 5.25 |
|  | Govt. Employee | 36 | 9.0 |
|  | Housewife | 183 | 45.75 |
|  | Labor | 26 | 6.5 |
|  | Non-Govt. Employee | 87 | 21.75 |
|  | Sports | 5 | 1.25 |
|  | Unemployed | 41 | 10.25 |
|  | Total | 400 | 100 |

For the current study, respondents from the agriculture sector were $1(0.25 \%)$, from business, $21(5.25 \%)$, government employees 36 ( $9.0 \%$ ), house-wives 183(45.75\%), from labor group, 26(6.5\%), from non-governmental group, 87(21.75\%), from sports category, $5(1.25 \%)$, from unemployed group, 41 (10.25\%).

Table 7: Association between Occupation and electoral participation

| Variables | Response options | No (\%) | Yes (\%) | Total | $\chi^{2}$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Agriculture | 1 (100) | 0 (0) | 1 | 19.031+ | 0.005** |
|  | Business | 11 (52.4) | 10(47.6) | 21 |  |  |
|  | Govt. Employee | 22 (61.1) | 14 (38.9) | 36 |  |  |
|  | Housewife | 122(66.7) | 61 (33.3) | 183 |  |  |
|  | Labor | 19 (73.1) | 7 (26.9) | 26 |  |  |
|  | Non-Govt. Employee | 38 (43.7) | 49 (56.3) | 87 |  |  |
|  | Sports | 4 (80.0) | 1 (20.0) | 5 |  |  |
|  | Unemployed | 30 (73.2) | 11 (26.8) | 41 |  |  |
|  | Total | 247(68.8) | 153(31.2) | 400 |  |  |

$\mathrm{P}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001,{ }^{+}$Fisher's exact test ${ }^{*}$

Variations among various occupations, employment status, and electoral participation have been observed. Of respondents belonging to those occupations such that none were from agriculture, 10(47.6\%) from Business, 14 ( $38.9 \%$ ) from Government employees, 61(33.3\%) from housewives, $7(26.9 \%)$ from labor, $49(56.3 \%)$ from the non-government employee, $1(20.0 \%)$ from sports and $11(26.8 \%)$ from unemployed had exercised their right to vote. However, $1(100 \%)$ from agriculture, 11(52.4\%) from Business, 22(61.1\%) from Government employee, 122(66.7\%) from housewives, $19(73.1 \%$ ) from labor group, 38(43.7\%) from non-government employee, 4(80.0\%) from sports and $30(73.2 \%$ ) from unemployed category had not cast their votes. Moreover, a significant association is found between occupations/employment statuses the electoral participation, as the $p$-value is less than 0.05 . The overall conclusion is that occupation plays a pivotal part in women's electoral participation.

Figure 3: Occupation/profession of respondents and electoral participation


Monthly income of respondents-Descriptive statistics
Table 8: Monthly income of the respondents

| Variable | Response options | $\mathbf{N}$ | \% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthly <br> Income | 110000 | 42 | 10.5 |
|  | $21000-20000$ | 12 | 3.0 |
|  | $31000-40000$ | 20 | 5.0 |
|  | $41000-50000$ | 18 | 4.5 |
|  | $>50000$ | 24 | 6.0 |
|  | None | 61 | 15.3 |
|  | Total | 223 | 55.7 |

Monthly income shows the financial condition and well-being of individual respondents. According to current data, 42(10.5\%) respondents earned below 10000. Of the respondents,
$12(3.0 \%)$ made $11000-20000$ monthly. Out of the total, $20(5.0 \%)$ respondents earned $21000-$ 30000 monthly, 18 (4.5\%) of the total respondents earned 31000-40000 monthly, 24 ( $6.0 \%$ ) respondents earned $41000-50000$ monthly, $61(15.3 \%)$ of total respondents were earning more than 50000 monthly, $223(55.7 \%)$ of respondents were not earned and financially dependent on their male family members.

Table 9: Association between monthly income and electoral participation

| Variables | Response options | No (\%) | Yes (\%) | Total | $\chi 2$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Income | < 10000 | 24 (57.1) | 18 (42.9) | 42(100) | 15.199+ | 0.017* |
|  | 11000-20000 | 9 (75.0) | 3 (25.0) | 12(100) |  |  |
|  | 21000-30000 | 10 (50.0) | 10 (50.0) | 20(100) |  |  |
|  | 31000-40000 | 9 (50.0) | 9 (50.0) | 18(100) |  |  |
|  | 41000-50000 | 11 (45.8) | 13 (54.2) | 24(100) |  |  |
|  | $>50000$ | 30 (49.2) | 31 (50.8) | 61(100) |  |  |
|  | None | 154 (69.1) | 69 (30.9) | 223(100) |  |  |
|  | Total | 247(56.6) | 153 (43.3) | 400 |  |  |

$\mathrm{P}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{P}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001,{ }^{+}$Fisher's exact test ${ }^{*}$

Variations in the decision to cast a vote concerning monthly income ranges have been found as 18 ( $42.9 \%$ ) from a range of monthly income less than 10000 had cast their votes. Among other respondents who had cast their votes are; $3(25.0 \%)$ from a monthly income range of 11000-20000, $10(50.0 \%)$ from a monthly income range of 21000-30000, $9(50.0 \%)$ from a monthly income range 31000-40000, 13 ( $54.2 \%$ ) from monthly income range 41000-50000, 31 ( $50.8 \%$ ) from monthly income range above 50000 and 69 ( $30.9 \%$ ) from not earning group had cast their votes. However, $24(57.1 \%)$ from a range of monthly income less than $10000,9(75.0 \%)$ from a monthly income range of 11000-20000, 10(50.0\%) from a monthly income range of 21000-30000, 9(50.0\%) from monthly income range $31000-40000$, $11(45.8 \%$ ) from monthly income range 41000-50000, 30 ( $49.2 \%$ ) from monthly income range above 50000 and 154(69.1\%) from not earning group had not cast their votes. Moreover, there is a remarkable link between monthly income and electoral participation as a p-value among variables remained less than 0.05 . Results can be seen in the figure below.

Figure 4: Monthly income of respondents and electoral participation


Monthly Income
Educational Variable
Table 10: Responses about the education variable of the total respondents ( $\mathrm{n}=400$ )

| Statements | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | No Opinion | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Educated women can easily cast their vote. | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 4.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 43 \\ & 10.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 5.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 203 \\ & 50.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 28.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Educated woman is free to cast their vote for the candidate of their own choice. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 5.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55 \\ & 13.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 5.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 208 \\ & 52.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 93 \\ & 23.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Educated woman can easily raise their voice for their right to vote. | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 7.2 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 9.8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 \\ & 8.3 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 202 \\ & 50.5 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 24.3 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Educated women can easily get their identity cards without any hurdles. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 \\ & 4.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 35 \\ & 8.8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \\ & 9.0 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 230 \\ & 57.5 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 82 \\ & 20.5 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Educated women can easily move out of the house to go to the polling station even if it is far away. | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 22.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 29.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 15.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 18.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 14.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Educated woman has the support of their family for casting their vote. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47 \\ & 11.8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 55 \\ & 13.8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42 \\ & 10.5 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 193 \\ & 48.3 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 63 \\ & 15.8 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Cultural purdah is not a hurdle for an educated woman to go to a polling station to cast her vote even if the polling station is far away. | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 26.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 76 \\ & 19.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 54 \\ & 13.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 111 \\ & 27.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 54 \\ & 13.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 8. Domestic responsibilities and lack of time is not a hurdle for an educated woman to go to a polling station for casting her vote. | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 28.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 82 \\ & 20.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 52 \\ & 13.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 26.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 48 \\ & 12.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

As discussed earlier, education plays a significant role in creating awareness of voting rights among individuals. In responses to education and its association with the voting decision by women of Kohat, various responses can be observed, such as in response to question no 1, educated women can easily cast her vote. $79.4 \%$ agreed. While in response to question 2 , educated woman is free to cast her vote for the candidate of her own choice, $75.3 \%$ agreed. For question 3, educated woman can easily raise her voice for her right to vote, $74.8 \%$ agreed. Answering question 4, educated women can easily get their identity card without any hurdles, $78 \%$ agreed. In response to question 5, educated women can easily move out of the house to go to the polling station even if it is far away, $33.3 \%$ agreed. For question 6 educated woman has the support of her family for casting her vote, $64.1 \%$ agreed. While for question 7, cultural purdah is not a hurdle for an educated woman to go to the polling station to cast her vote even if the polling station is far away, $41.3 \%$ agreed. In response to question 8, domestic responsibilities and lack of time are not a hurdle for an educated woman to go to a polling station to cast her vote, $38.3 \%$ agreed. Results can be clearly seen in the figure given below.

Figure 5: Educational variable and electoral participation


## Relationship between educational variable and electoral participation

A point-biserial correlation is run to find the link between the educational variable and electoral participation. The results are given below:

Table 11: Correlations between educational variable and electoral participation

|  |  | Education | Electoral Participation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Education | Pearson Correlation | 1 | $.276^{* *}$ |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |  |
|  | n | 400 | 400 |
| Electoral | Pearson Correlation | $.285^{* *}$ | 1 |
| Participation | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |  |
|  | n | 400 | 400 |

The correlation between education variables and electoral participation is positive which is statistically significant $(\mathrm{p}=0.000)$. Therefore, it is found that there is a remarkable correlation between education and the decision to vote cast.

## Findings

The findings of the current study are based on data analysis (quantitative). Through the closeended questionnaire, respondents presented their views about the electoral participation of women in the General Elections of 2018 in District Kohat. Following are the findings.

- In NA 32 Kohat, 153 ( $38.25 \%$ ) out of the total respondents had cast their votes, whereas the rest, 247 (61.75\%), had not.
- The electoral area as a demographic variable shows the p-value in District Kohat as 0.217.
- The chi-square test provides the p-value of age as $<0.05$ in District Kohat.
- The p-value of educational level and the decision to vote is $<0.05$ in District Kohat.
- Taking occupation as a demographic variable, the p-value is 0.005 in Kohat.
- Monthly income as a variable shows a p-value of $<0.05$ in District Kohat.
- The p-value of education as an independent variable remains < 0.05 in District Kohat.


## Conclusion

The findings from the analysis of voter turnout in district Kohat of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa reveal several significant associations between demographic variables and the decision to vote. Notably, education emerges as a key factor influencing women's turnout in elections within this region. The data indicate that various demographic variables, including age, educational level, occupation, and monthly income, exhibit different levels of association with voter turnout. Specifically, education consistently demonstrates a significant correlation with the decision to vote, as evidenced by its pvalue remaining consistently below the threshold of 0.05 across multiple analyses. The chi-square test results underscore the importance of education, with educational level showing a significant association with the decision to vote. Furthermore, the p-values for education as an independent variable and in conjunction with other demographic factors reinforce its role as a crucial determinant of women's electoral participation in district Kohat.

## Suggestions

Based on the findings, several recommendations can be proposed to enhance women's turnout in elections in district Kohat;
Investment in Education: Given the significant influence of education on women's electoral participation, there is a pressing need for increased investment in education infrastructure and initiatives aimed at improving literacy rates, particularly among women. This could include
expanding access to quality education, providing scholarships and incentives for female students, and implementing awareness campaigns highlighting the importance of education in empowering women politically.
Promotion of Civic Education: Integrating civic education into school curricula and community outreach programs can help cultivate a culture of political awareness and engagement from a young age. By equipping women with knowledge about their rights, responsibilities, and the electoral process, they are more likely to feel empowered to exercise their right to vote.
Addressing Socio-Economic Barriers: Efforts to address socio-economic disparities, such as unemployment and low income, can also contribute to higher voter turnout among women. Initiatives that promote economic empowerment, such as vocational training programs and job creation schemes, can enhance women's socio-economic status and, consequently, their political agency.
Community Engagement and Outreach: Community-based initiatives that foster dialogue, participation, and collective decision-making can play a pivotal role in encouraging women to engage in electoral processes. Creating spaces for women to voice their concerns, discuss political issues, and mobilize support for electoral participation can help overcome social and cultural barriers to women's involvement in politics.
Policy Reforms: Policymakers should consider implementing targeted policy interventions aimed at addressing the specific needs and challenges faced by women in District Kohat. This could involve measures such as gender-sensitive electoral reforms, quotas for women in political representation, and initiatives to combat gender-based discrimination and violence.
By prioritizing education and addressing socio-economic barriers, policymakers and stakeholders can work towards creating an enabling environment that empowers women to participate fully and effectively in electoral processes, thereby strengthening democracy and promoting gender equality in District Kohat and beyond.
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