# Impact of Additional Duties on the Livestock Extension Services in Punjab: A Veterinary Field Staff Perspective

Muhammad Asghar<sup>1</sup>, Shoukat Ali<sup>2</sup>, Aqeela Saghir<sup>3</sup> and Irfan Ahmad<sup>4</sup> https://doi.org/10.62345/jads.2024.13.1.84

# Abstract

This study investigates the impact of additional duties of veterinary field staff on the delivery of livestock extension services. The current study was conducted in Punjab province. Administratively, this province consists of nine divisions. All veterinary officers (VOs) and veterinary assistants (VAs) of Punjab were considered as the population of the study. A complete list of veterinary field staff was collected from the Punjab Livestock and Dairy Development Department office. The sample size was calculated with the help of the online sample size calculator www.surveysystem.com with a 5% confidence interval and 95% confidence level. The sample size was drawn through a proportionate simple random sampling technique from two population subgroups, i.e., VOs and VAs. The study results revealed that 85.1% of respondents said they disliked performing the additional duties. About 93% of the respondents reported that the livestock department should have considered their will before assigning additional duties. Additional duties negatively affect the working efficiency of the field staff, as reported by 87.8% of VOs and 93.5% of VAs. Most respondents (58.8%) reported that they have to suspend their routine departmental services upon additional duties. It means, the assignment of additional duties seriously affects the departmental routine extension services. It is suggested that Livestock and Dairy Development, Punjab, should employ exceptional field staff for such additional duties to reduce the extra and irrelevant work burden on veterinary field staff.

Keywords: Livestock Extension, Veterinary Field Staff, Additional Duties, Field Services.

# Introduction

The livestock sector plays a pivotal role in ensuring the well-being of humans. It emerged as the largest subsector in agriculture, with a maximum contribution to the country's economy. It contributed 62.68% to agriculture and 14.36% to the GDP during the fiscal year 2022-23 and also played an essential role in developing rural areas in Pakistan (GoP, 2023). Livestock farming is the socioeconomic function of rural families and mainly contributes to improving the livelihood of the farm families (Moyo *et al.*, 2010). As per reports, 46% of the direct and 67% indirect labour force are engaged in the livestock sector in Pakistan (GoP, 2017).

Livestock extension involves communicating with livestock owners in a systematic and organized manner. Extension services contribute to increasing the farmers' knowledge and decision power. It

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Institute of Agricultural Extension, Education and Rural Development, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Agricultural Extension, Education and Rural Development, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. <sup>4</sup>Department of Forestry and Range Management, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.





Copyright: ©This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. Compliance with ethical standards: There are no conflicts of interest (financial or non-financial). This study did not receive any funding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Institute of Agricultural Extension, Education and Rural Development, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. Correspondence Author Email: <u>aliasghar2828@gmail.com</u>

also had extraordinary assistance in technological adoptions and diversifying farming activities to increase farm output (Baiyegunhi et al., 2019). These services increase investors' interest in investing in the livestock industry, speed up the adoption, and ultimately raise dairy farmers' income and living standards (Khan et al., 2018). Such services are essential for developing the dairy industry in developing nations and aim to equip rural populations with research-based knowledge, leading to poverty reduction, rural development, and sustainable and resilient lives (Warriach et al., 2018; Swanson, 2008; Zwane, 2012).

Extension field staff also organize capacity-building training for farmers regarding different livestock aspects and disseminate information regarding improved and site-specific technologies. It positively impacted the adoption of recommended livestock production practices (Ijatuyi et al., 2017). Competent extension specialists are the asset of livestock extension services, which significantly increase animal production to meet the growing demand for dairy products, compete with the rapidly growing population, and earn more foreign exchange (Idrees et al., 2007).

Such organizations assist the farmers in identifying and assessing production issues (Chander et al., 2010). The main goal of the extension is to enable rural families to be able to help themselves. The objective of the extension department is based on farmers' adaptation to modern technology (Galav, 2010). Farmers may have the maximum livestock production by increasing investments and experiencing a clear understanding of the concerned field staff (Mvuna, 2010).

The Livestock and Dairy Development Department was established in 1973 after the Agriculture Department started operating all veterinary issues (GoP, 2014). The Directorate General Extension's field force consists of Senior Veterinary Officers, Veterinary Officers, and Veterinary Assistants who work at the field level. In addition to field staff, additional directors, directors, deputy directors at the district and tehsil levels, and directors at the divisional level supervise the departmental activities (GoP, 2016).



Figure1: Organogram of directorate general livestock (extension) Punjab

Additional duties assigned to the veterinary field staff may disrupt their working efficiency and negatively affect their job satisfaction, leading to stress levels. Furthermore, during extra duties,

veterinary field staff lost their focus on primary duties, which resulted in less effectiveness and efficiency of the services (Amendola et al., 2011).

Stressful working environments predict poor mental health, and unfortunately, these environments are causing psychiatric diseases (Paterniti et al., 2002). The prevalence of both depression and workplace stress has been increasing. The extension department assesses the impact of work stress on young working staff who have been diagnosed with depression and anxiety (Melchior et al., 2007). The study showed a negative and highly significant relationship between job dedication and workplace stress (Sankar & George, 2013). The present study was conducted to determine the demographic characteristics of veterinary field staff and the impact of additional duties on extension services.

# Methodology

Pakistan consists of four provinces, Punjab, Sindh, Baluchistan, and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The most densely populated area of Pakistan is Punjab province. Punjab province containing nine divisions that were selected for this study. All divisions of the Punjab were included in a study area of research. From each division, one district was selected randomly for data collection. From each selected district of division, ten VOs and thirty-one VAs were selected through proportionate simple random sampling technique as a sample of the study with 369 estimated sample size.

## Figure 2: Sampling layout of the study



## **Research Instrument and Data Collection**

Interview schedules were prepared technically to collect the data. Considering the study's objectives, two different comprehensive and well-designed research instruments (1. Veterinary Officers and 2. Veterinary Assistants) were developed for data collection. These research tools were designed as per the research objectives. The validity and reliability of research tools were

correctly checked to improve their effectiveness. The data was collected through face-to-face interviews with each respondent.

#### **Data Analysis**

The collected data was analyzed carefully using statistical techniques, such as SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences). According to the nature of the data, a suitable inferential statistical technique/method (Pearson Correlation) was also applied to check the association among different variables.

# **Results and Discussion**

#### Assessment of the demographic characteristics of veterinary field staff

Respondents with a lower demographic status usually have less access to financial, educational, social, and health resources than those with a higher demographic status (Fritschi et al., 2009; Balaban & Gunes, 2021). Demographic variables play a crucial role in data interpretation, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of the patterns and dynamics within a sample under consideration. Therefore, in the present investigation, multiple demographic characteristics, i.e., the respondents' age, education, income, job experience, and family background, were studied (table 1).

Most VOs and VAs fall into the age group of 25-45 years, while a smaller proportion was distributed in the < 25 years and > 45 years. The age of veterinary field staff can be an essential factor in the overall success and effectiveness of the profession.

Veterinary field staff play an essential role in animal welfare. Therefore, the education level of the veterinary field staff was assessed. The vast majority of VOs fall into the education group of DVM/Graduation, while a smaller proportion is distributed in the education group of Master/M.Phil. Most VAs fall into the education group of LAD (two-year diploma), while one-fourth proportion is distributed in an educational group of VA/VC (one-year diploma).

Most (92.2%) of VOs were male, while a small proportion was distributed into the female gender group (7.8%). Patel et al. (2016) stated that women often have additional responsibilities and provide more compassionate care than men. More female veterinary field staff working in the livestock sector is adequate for transferring livestock production technologies.

More experienced/skilled field staff can significantly satisfy the livestock farmers through better field services. Most (64.5%) of VOs had 5-15 years of job experience. About (38.7%) of VAs fall into the group of 5-15 years of job experience. It was recorded that most of the livestock field forces needed more experience. The results of the present study contradict Gaida et al. (2018), who demonstrated that most of the veterinary staff have five years of working experience.

Rural backgrounds provide a unique understanding of the challenges of providing veterinary care in areas with limited resources. Results demonstrated that a vast majority of veterinary field staff (77.2%) have a rural background, which is a positive indicator of livestock extension field staff. The results of the present studies are supported by the findings of Roca and Mccarthy (2019), who found that the majority of the veterinary staff respondents belonged to rural family backgrounds.

Age (year)	(VOs)		(VAs)		(VOs +	VAs)
	f	%	f	%	f	%
< 25	0	0.0	19	6.8	19	5.1
25-45	71	78.9	178	63.8	249	67.5
> 45	19	21.1	82	29.4	101	27.4
Education Level						
LAD (2-years diploma)	0	0.0	177	63.4	177	48.0
VA/VC (1-year diploma)	0	0.0	72	25.8	72	19.5
SA (Six-month diploma)	0	0.0	30	10.8	30	8.1
DVM/Graduation	76	84.4	0	0.0	76	20.6
Master/M.Phil.	14	15.6	0	0.0	14	3.8
Ph.D.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Gender						
Male	83	92.2	279	100.0	362	98.1
Female	7	7.8	0	0.0	7	1.9
Job Experience (year)						
<5	10	11.1	70	25.1	80	21.7
5-15	58	64.5	108	38.7	166	45.0
> 15	22	24.4	101	36.2	123	33.3
Family Background						
Rural	53	58.9	232	83.2	285	77.2
Urban	37	41.1	47	16.8	84	22.8

Table 1: Distribution	on of veterinary fi	eld staf	f based on de	mograph	ic charac
Age (year)	(VOs)		(VAs)		(VO
	ſ	0/	ſ	0/	ſ

n=369

#### **Veterinary Field Staff Additional Duties**

The additional duty for official documentation has the highest proportion of employees as 91.1% of VOs and 86.4% of VAs reported that they have been assigned such duties. The paid less overtime duties were performed by 88.9% of VOs and 86.4% of VAs. The flood duties were performed by 82.2% of veterinary officers and 79.6% of veterinary assistants. It was recorded that most of the respondents were engaged in departmental additional duties (table 2).

#### Table 2: Distribution of veterinary field staff based on assigned departmental additional duties

Departmental	VOs				VAs				(VOs	+ VAs	)	
additional	Yes		No		Yes		No		Yes		No	
duties	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Departmental documentations duties	82	91.1	8	8.9	241	86.4	38	13.6	323	87.5	46	12.5
Paid less over time duties	80	88.9	10	11.1	241	86.4	38	13.6	321	87.0	48	13.0
Mobile data entry	77	85.6	13	14.4	237	84.9	42	15.1	314	85.1	55	14.9
Flood duties	74	82.2	16	17.8	222	79.6	57	20.4	296	80.2	73	19.8
Cattle's market duties (religious festivals)	62	68.9	28	31.1	206	73.8	73	26.2	268	72.6	101	27.4

Cattles market duties on weekly basis	35	38.9	55	61.1	82	29.4	197	70.6	117	31.7	252	68.3
Slaughterhouse duties on daily basis	22	24.4	68	75.6	5	1.8	274	98.2	27	7.3	342	92.7

Table 3:	<b>Distribution</b> of	f veterinarv	field staff	based on	assigned	additional duties	5

Non-	VOs	5			Vas				(VOs	s + VAs	s)	
departmental	Yes		No		Yes		No		Yes		No	
additional	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
duties												
Duty for tree	86	95.6	4	4.4	265	95.0	14	5.0	351	95.1	18	4.9
plantation												
Ramadan	81	90.0	9	10.0	217	77.8	62	22.2	298	80.8	71	19.2
Bazar duty												
Duty on	82	91.1	8	8.9	199	71.3	80	28.7	281	76.2	88	23.8
Elections												
Duty on	33	36.7	57	63.3	47	16.8	232	83.2	80	21.7	289	78.3
religious days												
Market price	18	20.0	72	80.0	21	7.5	258	92.5	39	10.6	330	89.4
control duty												
Wheat-	30	33.3	60	66.7	17	6.1	262	93.9	47	12.7	322	87.3
procurement												
Center duty												

Table 4: Distribution of veterinary field staff based on their concerns about additional duties												
Concerns about	VO	S			VAs				(VO	s + VA	s)	
additional duties	Yes	;	No		Yes		No		Yes		No	
	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Like to perform	17	18.9	73	81.1	38	13.6	241	86.4	55	14.9	314	85.1
additional duties?												
Department	9	10.0	81	90.0	17	6.1	262	93.9	26	7.0	343	93.0
consider my												
willingness prior												
to assigning duties												
Efficiency of work	79	87.8	11	12.2	261	93.5	18	6.5	340	92.1	29	7.9
suffered due to												
additional duties												
I receive	7	7.8	83	92.2	9	3.2	270	96.8	16	4.3	353	95.7
remuneration for												
additional duties												

58.5

100.0

Services suspend

Total

additional duties in their absence										
Who performs	your	(VOs)		(VAs)		(VOs +	VAs)			
duties?		f	%	f	%	f	%			
Lower staff		66	73.3	45	16.1	111	30.1			
Colleagues		4	4.4	38	13.6	42	11.4			

196

279

70.3

100.0

216

369

Table 5: Distribution of veterinary field staff based on response regarding who performing additional duties in their absence

Table 6: Relationship of additional duties effect on Veterinary field staff	(VOs and	VAs)
livestock extension services		

22.2

100.0

20

90

		Additiona	l Animals	Held	Services	Daily	Fear to
		duties	Services	farmer	s Efficiency	/ field	achieve
			suspended	l days	Suffered	visit	target
Additional duties	Pearson	1	.217*	225*	.344**	325*	*.223*
	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.024	.033	.000	.000	.020
	N	369	369	369	369	369	369
Animals Services	Pearson	.217*	1	218*	.327**	165*	.095
suspended	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.024		.024	.000	.013	.373
	N	369	369	369	369	369	369
Held farmers days	Pearson	225*	218*	1	020	.059	001
	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.033	.024		.704	.254	.991
	Ν	369	369	369	369	369	369
Services Efficiency	Pearson	.344**	.327**	020	1	092	.161
Suffered	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.704		.076	.129
	Ν	369	369	369	369	369	369
Daily field visit	Pearson	325**	265*	.059	092	1	292**
	Correlation						
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.013	.254	.076		.008
	N	369	369	369	369	369	369
Fear to achieve target	Pearson	.223*	.095	001	.161	-	1
	Correlation					.192**	ĸ
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.020	.373	.991	.129	.008	
	Ν	369	369	369	369	369	369
*. Correlation is signific	ant at the 0.05 le	vel (2-taile	d).				
**. Correlation is signifi	cant at the $0.01$ l	evel (2-tail	ed).				

About 95% of VAs and 95.6% of VOs participated in the work of tree plantation. Similarly, VOs and VAs also perform duties related to religious holidays, market price control, and wheat procurement. It is revealed from reported data that the overwhelming majority of respondents were involved in tree plantation, Ramadan bazaar and election duties; the additional duties can

negatively affect the field staff morale and motivation (table 3). Results are similar to the Albore et al. (2018) findings that agriculture extension services always face challenges to producing maximum farm production.

It was noticed that 85.1% of the respondents don't like to perform the additional duties. Overall, 93% of the respondents reported that the livestock and dairy development department did not consider their will before assigning duties. It means that the department enforces such duties. Additional duties negatively affect the working efficiency of the field staff, as reported by 87.8% of the veterinary officers and 93.5% of the veterinary assistants. The Department did not pay any remuneration to the field staff instead of these additional duties. Only 7.8% of veterinary officers and 3.2% of veterinary assistants reported being paid for extra work. Unpaid additional duties can potentially increase the stress level of the field staff (Table 4). The present study's findings agree with Sennuga et al. (2022) that extension services significantly influence livestock production.

Most respondents (58.8%) reported that they had to suspend their routine departmental services during additional duties. About 30.1 % of the respondents reported that they handed over their departmental duties to their lower staff. A small percentage (11.4%) of the veterinary field staff gave their duties to their colleagues. Assigning additional duties seriously affects the departmental routine extension services (table 5).

Results revealed a significant positive correlation between additional duties, animal services suspended, fear of achieving targets, and service efficiency. A negative correlation was also noted between daily field visits and additional duties. This suggests that the frequency of additional duties increases resulting in decreasing daily field visits. Similarly, the negative correlation between daily field visits and fear of achieving the target was recorded. Present investigations also revealed a negative correlation between additional duties and held farmer days (table 6). The results of this study are supported by the findings of multiple studies, Belay (2014) and Bellay and Degnet (2004). Bellay (2002) studied the numerous constraints extension agents face and determined that extension services positively correlate with variable factors, including their extra activities.

## Conclusion

There is a significant positive correlation between additional duties and services efficiency suffered. Additional duties limited animal field services and increased the fear in employees for achieving their targets. It is concluded that additional duties of veterinary field staff, such as flood duties, tree plantation duties, cattle market duties every week, slaughterhouse duty, price control duties in markets, Ramadan Bazar duties, wheat procurement center, and mobile data entry duties, had a negative effect on the working efficiency of the veterinary field staff.

#### Recommendations

It is suggested that Punjab's livestock and dairy development department should refrain from engaging their veterinary field staff in additional assignments. However, if inevitable, the staff should be given special allowance for such duties. It is recommended that the livestock and dairy development department in Punjab should employ exceptional field staff for such additional duties to reduce the extra and irrelevant work burden on veterinary field staff. The government of the Punjab should devise a policy not to assign additional duties to the field staff for their smooth and conducive working efficiency.

# References

- Albore, A. (2018). Review on role and challenges of agricultural extension service on farm productivity in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 4(1), 93-100.
- Amendola, K. L., Weisburd, D., Hamilton, E., Jones, G, Slipka, M., Heitmann, A., & Tarkghen, E. (2011). The impact of shift length in policing on performance, health, quality of life, sleep, fatigue, and extra-duty employment. Washington, DC: Police Foundation.
- Baiyegunhi, L. J. S., Hassan, M. B., Danso-Abbeam, G. & Ortmann, G. F. (2019). Diffusion and adoption of Integrated Striga Management (ISM) technologies among smallholder maize farmers in rural northern Nigeria. *Technology in Society*. *56*, 109-115.
- Balaban, C., & Gunes, H. (2021). A research on some socio-demographic characteristics of companion animal veterinarians and economic structures of companion animal clinics in Istanbul. *Journal of Istanbul Veterinary Sciences. 5*, 72-86.
- Belay, D. (2014). Agricultural Extension System of Ethiopia: A Case from Amhara region Practice, Challenges, Way forward; Presented at Participatory Research Workshop and Project Meeting. 11-12 August 2014, Ethiopia Extension Service in Ethiopia
- Belay, K. (2002). Constraints to Extension Work in Ethiopia: The Insiders' View. South African Journal of Agricultural Extension, 31, 63-79.
- Belay, K., & Degnet, A. (2004), Challenges Facing Agricultural Extension Agents: A Case Study from South Western Ethiopia, *African Development Review*, *16*(1), 139-168.
- Chander, M., T. Datt R., Ravikumar, K., & Subrahmanyeswari, B. (2010). Livestock technology transfer service in India: A review. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 80, 1115.
- Fritschi, L., Morrison, D., Shirangi, A., & Day, L. (2009). Psychological well-being of Australian veterinarians. *Australian Veterinary Journal*. 87, 76-81.
- Gaida, S., Härtl, A., Tipold, A. & Dilly. (2018). Communication identity in veterinary medicine: a grounded theory approach. *Veterinary Record Open.* 5, 310.
- Galav, P., Jain, A. S., Katewa, S., & Nag, A. (2010). Animal healthcare practices by livestock owners at Pushkar animal fair, Rajasthan.
- *Government of Pakistan* (2023). Economic Survey of Pakistan 2022-23, Ministry of Finance. Govt. of Pakistan, Islamabad.
- *Govt. of Pakistan.* (2017). Ministry of Finance. Pakistan Economic Survey 2016-17. Retrieve at 17/11/2021. Available at: <u>https://www.finance.gov.pk/survey\_1617.html</u>
- Govt. of Punjab. (2014). Livestock Punjab. Available at: <u>https://livestock.punjab.gov.pk/</u>
- *Govt. of Punjab.* (2016). Livestock Punjab. Livestock and Dairy Development Department, Government of Punjab. Pakistan. Available at: <u>https://livestock.punjab.gov.pk/</u>
- *Govt. of Punjab.* (2017). Livestock Punjab. Directorate of Communication and Extension, Government of Punjab. Pakistan. Available at: <u>https://livestock.punjab.gov.pk/</u>
- Herrero, M., & Thornton, P. K. (2013). Livestock and global change: Emerging issues for sustainable food systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*, 20878-20881.
- Herrero, M. P.K., Thornton, A.M., Notenbaert, S., Wood, S., Msangi, H.A., Freeman, M. & Rosegrant, M. (2010). Smart investments in sustainable food production: revisiting mixed crop-livestock systems. *Science*. *327*, 822-825.

## **1026** Journal of Asian Development Studies

- Idrees, M., Mahmood, Z., Shafi, M., & Sidique, U. (2007). Performance evaluation of extension services of livestock and dairy development department in district Peshawar (NWFP). *Sarhad J. of Agric.*, 23, 519-526.
- Idrees, M., Mahmood, Z., Hussain, M., Shafi, M., & Sidique, U. (2007). General problems regarding extension services with livestock and dairy farmers of Peshawar District, Pakistan. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 23, 527.
- Ijatuyi, E. J., Omotayo, A. O., & Mabe, L. K. (2017). Effect of extension service (s) and socioeconomic characteristics on the livelihood of Nguni cattle development project beneficiaries in North West Province: a tobit-ols regression approach. *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension.* 45, 64-77.
- Khan, M. Z., Khan, B., Awan, M. S., Begum, F. (2018). Livestock depredation by large predators and its implications for conservation and livelihoods in the Karakoram Mountains of Pakistan. *Oryx.* 52, 519-525.
- Melchior, M., Caspi, A., Milne, B.J., Danese, A., Poulton, R., & Moffitt, T.E. (2007). Work stress precipitates depression and anxiety in young, working women and men. *Psychological Medicine*. *37*, 1119-1129.
- Moyo, S., & Swanepoel, F.J.C (2010). Multifunctionality of livestock in developing communities. The role of livestock in developing communities. *Enhancing Multi-functionality. 3*, 69.
- Mvuna, J. K. (2010). Agricultural extension services delivery in Tanzania. In Towards improving agricultural extension service delivery in the SADC region. Proceedings of the workshop on information. Sharing among extension players in the SADC region (pp. 26-28).
- Patel, S. J., Patel, M.D., Patel, J.H., Patel A.S., & Gelani, R.N. (2016). Role of women gender in livestock sector: A review. *Journal of Livestock Science*. 7, 92-96.
- Paterniti, S., & Niedhammer, I., Lang, T. & Consoli, S. M. (2002). Psychosocial factors at work, personality traits and depressive symptoms: Longitudinal results from the GAZEL study. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 181, 111-117.
- Roca, R. Y., & McCarthy, R.J. (2019). Impact of telemedicine on the traditional veterinarianclient-patient relationship. *Topics in companion animal medicine*, *37*, 359.
- Rojas-Downing, M. M., Nejadhashemi, A.P., Harrigan, T. & Woznicki, S.A. (2017). Climate change and livestock: Impacts, adaptation, and mitigation. *Climate Risk Management*, *16*,145-163.
- Sankar, S., George, P.R., Kamal, P.R. (2013). Job satisfaction and stress among the veterinarians of Kerala state in India. *Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*. 13, 94-97.
- Sennuga, S. O., Lai-Solarin, W. I., Adeoye, W. A., & Alabuja, F. O. (2022). *Extension's role in improving livestock production: information needs, institutions and opportunities.*
- Swanson, B. E. (2008). *Global review of good agricultural extension and advisory service practices* (Vol. 82). Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Warriach, H. M., Wynn, P.C., Ishaq, M., Arif, S., Bhatti, A., Latif, S., & McGill D.M. (2018). Impacts of improved extension services on awareness, knowledge, adoption rates and perceived benefits of smallholder dairy farmers in Pakistan. *Animal Production Science*. *59*, 2175-2183.
- Zwane, E. M. (2012). Does extension have a role to play in rural development? *South African Journal of Agricultural Extension.* 40, 16-24.